

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/536,743 05/27/2005		Estill Thone Hall Jr.	PU020471	4506	
24498	7590 10/06/2006		EXAMINER		
THOMSON	LICENSING INC.	DOWLING, WILLIAM C			
PATENT OP	ERATIONS				
PO BOX 5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PRINCETON	, NJ 08543-5312	•	2851		

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/536,743		HALL JR. ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
			William C. เ	Dowling	2851	<u></u>			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE INSIDE STATUTORY PERIOD IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE INSIDE STATE IN THE PROVINCE OF T	MAILING DA is of 37 CFR 1.13 imunication. statutory period w ly will, by statute,	ATE OF THI 86(a). In no ever vill apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION nt. however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed I the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on 27 Ma	ay 2005.						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-22 and 25</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠	Claim(s) 4,5,23,24 and 26 is/are of	jected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or	r election re	quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	he Examiner	r.	b					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 May 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the o	drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		-						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
	•			S	ed iii tiiis Mattoriai	Otage			
* 5	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
				,					
•									
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)			4) Interview Summary	, (PT∩⊿13)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/27/05 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:									
- ape				-, <u></u> .					

Application/Control Number: 10/536,743 Page 2

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1, 8-11, 13-14, 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Blackham et al. (5,978,142)

See figure 2

2. Claims 1, 6, 8-11, 13-14, 16-22, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by WO 01/69941.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-3, 12, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 01/69941 in view of Parker (6,224,216).

WO 01/69941 discloses the invention substantially as claimed. WO 01/69941 teaches the use of digital imagers receiving pulse modulation in the form of DMD's. WO 01/69941 does not teach the use of LCOS systems as the modulators.

Parker et al. teaches a reflective projection device. As noted in Column 4 Lines 11-13 the device may alternatively use any of known types of reflective modulators, including DMD, LCOS or LCD.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of WO 01/69941 by the substitution of a different type of reflective modulator, such as an LCOS as taught by Parker, because both types would enable grayscale modulation.

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 01/69941 and Parker and further in view of Hansen et al. (6,234,634).

WO 01/69941 discloses the invention substantially as claimed but does not teach the provision of a polarizing beam splitter in the light path.

Hansen et al. discloses the use of a PBS adjacent reflective light valves, such as LCOS's.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of WO 01/69941 and Parker by the addition of a PBS when using polarization based reflective light valves in order to change the light path of the modulated light from the incident light.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 4-5, 23-24, 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,743 Page 4

Art Unit: 2851

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Dowling whose telephone number is 571-272-2116. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THURS.

8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diane Lee can be reached on 571-272-2399. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571/27/2

> **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2851

wcd